IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1009 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.921 OF 2006

Dattatraya Ramchandra Jadhav
Jaya Kashinath Aswale
Sunita Dattatraya Jadhav ...Applicants

vs.

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr.Dilip Bodake for the Applicants
Mr.A.S.Shitole,A.P.P. for State

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : OCTOBER 9,2006.

P.C.:

1. Rule. Learned A.P.P. waives service for State. Taken up for hearing forthwith.

2. It is not in dispute that the Applicant No.2-Jaya Kashinath Aswale and Applicant No.3-Sunita Dattatraya Jadhav were on bail throughout the Trial. It is also not in dispute that the Trial Court protected the said two accused persons after the order of conviction till the period of filing Appeal was over. The Applicant No.1 is in custody from 7th July 2005.

Thus, he has completed 15 months of imprisonment. The substantive sentence is of two years. The fine amount has already been deposited. Hence, the Applicants are entitled to bail.

i) The Applicant No.2 and 3 shall be enlarged on the same bail on which they were enlarged during the pendency of the trial subject to filing fresh bail bonds.

ii) The Applicant No.1 shall be enlarged on bail in the sum of Rs.5,000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount.

iii) Bail is granted subject to condition that the Applicants will report to Satara City Police station once in every two months till the final disposal of the Appeal.

JUDGE